

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,443	10/22/2003	Jonathan A. Tester	SYMAP038	8103
	7590 01/29/2007 I & JAMES LLP		EXAMINER	
10050 N. FOO	THILL BLVD #200		PEESO, THOMAS R	
CUPERTINO,	CA 95014		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,	•
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/691,443	TESTER, JONAT	TESTER, JONATHAN A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•				
	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,10,13-16,22-24,29 and 30 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3,7-9,11,12,17-21 and 25-28 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22Oct2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) \ Notice of 6) \ Other:	Informal Patent Application				
	· <del>-</del> -					

Application/Control Number: 10/691,443

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,163,806 to Viswanathan et al.

As per claims 1, 2, 29 and 30, Viswanathan et al. disclose the limitations of these claims (see at least the abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6, 10, 13-16, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viswanathan et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 4-6,10 and 16, Viswanathan et al. do not specifically disclose the limitations of these claims. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2132

anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included these features in the invention of Viswanathan et al. since they comprise very well known elements necessary for the entire system to function in a secure and controlled environment.

As per claims 13-15, 22-24, Viswanathan et al. Viswanathan et al. do not disclose the features of these limitations. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems for the same reason as stated in the claims above.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 7-9, 11, 12, 17-21, 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 5,442,690
- U. S. Patent No. 5,557,775
- U. S. Patent No. 5,778,226
- U. S. Patent No. 5,825,759

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner

24 January 2007